# ORIGINAL PAPER

# A comparative study on the $B_{12}N_{12}$ , $Al_{12}N_{12}$ , $B_{12}P_{12}$ and $Al_{12}P_{12}$ fullerene-like cages

Javad Beheshtian • Zargham Bagheri • Mohammad Kamfiroozi • Ali Ahmadi

Received: 2 September 2011 / Accepted: 17 October 2011 / Published online: 16 November 2011 © Springer-Verlag 2011

**Abstract** The stability, geometry and electronic structure of the title nanoclusters were compared by using density functional theory (DFT) calculations. Their electrical property analysis showed that the relative magnitude of the HOMO-LUMO gaps (eV) that are average values from the calculated results with five different DFT functionals is as follows:

$$B_{12}N_{12}(7.02) >> Al_{12}N_{12}(4.09) > B_{12}P_{12}(3.80) > Al_{12}P_{12}(3.39).$$

Computing the standard enthalpy and the Gibbs free energy of formation, it was found that the  $B_{12}N_{12}$  structure is thermodynamically stable at 298 K and 1 atmosphere of pressure, while the  $Al_{12}N_{12}$  structure may be stable at low temperatures. Due to positive values of change of enthalpy and entropy of formation for both the  $B_{12}P_{12}$  and  $Al_{12}P_{12}$  clusters, it seems that their formation from the consisting atoms is not spontaneous at any temperature.

J. Beheshtian Department of Chemistry, Shahid Rajaee Teacher Training University, P.O. Box: 16875-163, Tehran, Iran

Z. Bagheri
Physics group, Science department, Islamic Azad University, Islamshahr Branch,
P.O. Box: 33135-369, Islamshahr,
Tehran, Iran

M. Kamfiroozi Department of Chemistry, Islamic Azad University, Shiraz Branch, Shiraz, Iran

A. Ahmadi (⊠)
Young Researchers Club, Islamic Azad University,
Islamshahr Branch,
Tehran, Iran
e-mail: ahmadi.iau@gmail.com

**Keywords** Density functional theory · Enthalpy of formation · Fullerene-like nanoclusters · Gibbs free energy · Stability

## Introduction

The large-scale isolation of  $C_{60}$  in 1985 [1] makes nanocluster science very interesting and the literature in the field is growing rapidly. In recent years, numerous efforts have been devoted to the study of possible fullerene-like structures constructed of other elements, rather than carbon, for their specific physical and chemical properties [2–5]. Specially, studies of small III–V clusters have become routine works in the literature as they are used in fast micro-electronic devices and light-emitting diodes [6, 7].

Theoretical studies of several  $(XY)_n$  clusters (X=B, Al, ...and Y=N, P, ...) predicted the fullerene-like cages  $X_{12}Y_{12}$  to be the most stable ones [8, 9]. These facts show that the fullerene-like cages  $(XY)_n$  may be magic clusters, having inherent special stability when *n* is equal to 12. Oku et al. [10] synthesized nanocage clusters of  $B_{12}N_{12}$ detected by laser desorption time-of-flight mass spectrometry. They showed that  $B_{12}N_{12}$  clusters consisted of 4- and 6-membered rings of BN. Other papers on the  $B_{12}N_{12}$ nanocluster topics are available in the literature [11, 12].

If boron and nitrogen atoms of the BN cluster are replaced by the heavier elements of Groups III and V, the clusters are expected to be less strained. Wu et al. have studied the structure and energy of  $(AIN)_n$  cages (n=2-41), theoretically, showing that the  $Al_{12}N_{12}$  is energetically the most stable cluster in this family and would thus be an ideal inorganic fullerene-like cage. Wang et al. suggested that these clusters are ideal materials for hydrogen storage applications under ambient thermodynamic conditions [13]. However, to the best of our knowledge there is no report on the synthesis of these nanocages.

AlP clusters are prominent targets of study with heavier atoms due to their higher vibrational frequencies, facilitating the observation of vibrational progressions in photoelectron spectra. Additionally, the smaller number of electrons makes them more amenable to electronic structure calculations. So far, several ab initio calculations have been carried out on the properties of  $Al_nP_m$  clusters by several groups [14–16].

BP 4- and 6-membered rings have been made available previously [17]. Th BP is a refractory semiconductor compound with peculiar properties. It is a III–V material with a strong covalent binding character, its zinc blende structural phase is the most stable one, and it is a promising material for use in optoelectronic and microelectronic devices working under difficult conditions such as high temperatures or aggressive environments [18].

We are interested in a comparative study on the thermochemistry of  $B_{12}N_{12}$ ,  $Al_{12}N_{12}$ ,  $B_{12}P_{12}$  and  $Al_{12}P_{12}$  nanoclusters by means of density functional theory method. We also comparatively will investigate their structural and electrical properties under identical conditions. Previously, using semiempirical AM1 method, Dumitrescu et al. have studied some properties and enthalpy of formations of these clusters [19]. It seems that AM1 method cannot efficiently describe such systems and accurate methods are needed to

Fig. 1 Geometrical parameters of optimized structures of the  $B_{12}N_{12}$ ,  $Al_{12}N_{12}$ ,  $B_{12}P_{12}$  and  $Al_{12}P_{12}$  inorganic fullerene-like cages. The distances and angels are in angstrom and degree, respectively

gain nearly exact results. However, we achieved different results in comparison to those of Dumitrescu et al.

# **Computational details**

We selected four fullerene-like  $X_{12}Y_{12}(X = B \text{ or Al and } Y =$ N or P) nanocluster; their optimized parameters were shown in Fig. 1. Structure optimizations and all energy calculations were performed using spin-unrestricted B3LYP/6-31 G\* level of theory. Harmonic vibrational frequency calculations confirmed the stationary points as a minima structure on the potential energy surfaces. The B3LYP functional, is a combination of HF with a DFT based on the Becke threeparameter exchange coupled with the Lee-Yang-Parr (LYP) correlation potential [20-22], and is one of the most popular hybrid DFT methods in the study of nanostructured materials [23–26]. Previously, it has been shown that the geometrical structure of fullerene ( $C_{60}$ ) predicted by the B3LYP calculations is in very good agreement with experiment [27]. The spin-unrestricted formalism has been used here, because in calculation of binding energy of clusters, we have to calculate the energy of open shell atoms with spin multiplicity more than one.

Furthermore, we have shown that 6–31 G\* is the most optimal basis set from the standpoints of calculation time and accuracy [28]. Previously, benchmark calculations of



Wu et al. on the  $B_{12}N_{12}$  cages have shown that the B3LYP results have the same trend as those of MP2 calculations, and B3LYP/6-311+G\* gives both qualitative and quantitative agreement with the B3LYP/6–31 G\*, and therefore the basis set effect is negligible [29]. In addition, other four DFT functionals were used in the HOMO-LUMO gap (E<sub>g</sub>) calculations that are listed in Table 1. All calculations reported here were carried out using a locally modified version of the GAMESS electronic structure program [30].

## **Results and discussion**

The optimized structures of the title nanoclusters with the geometrical parameters were shown in Fig. 1. In general, a significant difference between the XY fullerene and the carbon fullerenes is that XY fullerenes are composed from two different atoms. Y has a lone pair pointing out from the surface of the spheroid, while X has an empty orbital. Thus, dimers containing X facing Y would be more stable than those having Y to Y, because of the repulsion between the two lone pairs. Generally, a X<sub>12</sub>Y<sub>12</sub> nano-cage is formed from eight 6-membered rings and six 4-membered rings with T<sub>h</sub> symmetry so that the calculated electric dipole moment is zero. The computed nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectrums of the all clusters consist of two single peaks, confirming their Th symmetry. The smaller and greater size of angles around the Y and X atoms, respectively, is related to their hybridization. The natural bonding orbital (NBO) analysis shows that the hybridization of Y and X atoms is nearly  $sp^3$  and  $sp^2$ , respectively.

There are two distinct X–Y bonds in the optimized structures of  $X_{12}Y_{12}$  clusters (Fig. 1); one is shared by two 6-membered rings (B<sub>66</sub>) and another by 4- and 6-membered rings (B<sub>46</sub>) where length of the B<sub>46</sub> is somewhat greater than that of the B<sub>66</sub>. As shown in Table 2, they are both shorter than the single bond in H<sub>3</sub>X–YH<sub>3</sub>, but longer than the double bond in H<sub>2</sub>X–PY<sub>2</sub> at spin-unrestricted B3LYP/ 6–31 G\*. It indicates that the X<sub>12</sub>Y<sub>12</sub> clusters have aromatic nature stabilizing by the p electron conjugation. The Mulliken charges are transferred from electropositive atom (X) to electronegative one (Y). The Mulliken charges in the

Table 1 HOMO-LUMO gap  $(E_g)$  of the  $B_{12}N_{12}$ ,  $Al_{12}N_{12}$ ,  $B_{12}P_{12}$  and  $Al_{12}P_{12}$  clusters calculated using different DFT functionals. The  $E_g$  values are in electron volts

Cluster	B3LYP	X3LYP	O3LYP	M05	M06	Mean
B <sub>12</sub> N <sub>12</sub>	6.84	6.99	6.15	7.65	7.46	7.02
Al <sub>12</sub> N <sub>12</sub>	3.93	4.05	3.43	4.69	4.37	4.09
$B_{12}P_{12}$	3.71	3.81	3.19	4.22	4.08	3.80
$Al_{12}P_{12}$	3.39	3.49	3.04	3.73	3.31	3.39

Table 2 Lengths of two individual bonds in the surface of  $X_{12}Y_{12}$  clusters in comparison to that of  $H_3X$ -YH<sub>3</sub> and  $H_2X$ -YH<sub>2</sub>

Cluster	B <sub>66</sub>	B <sub>46</sub>	H <sub>3</sub> X-YH <sub>3</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> X-YH <sub>2</sub>
B <sub>12</sub> N <sub>12</sub>	1.44	1.49	1.39	1.67
Al <sub>12</sub> N <sub>12</sub>	1.79	1.89	1.73	2.00
$B_{12}P_{12}$	1.91	1.93	1.87	1.96
$Al_{12}P_{12}$	2.30	2.34	2.33	2.57

surfaces of  $B_{12}N_{12}$ ,  $Al_{12}N_{12}$ ,  $B_{12}P_{12}$  and  $Al_{12}P_{12}$  clusters are 0.44, 0.75, 0.12 and 0.21 e, respectively (Table 2). The ionicity of the Al-N and B-N bonds is significantly more than those of the others.

To gain an accurate  $E_g$  for every studied nanocluster, we applied different DFT functionals including: B3LYP, X3LYP, O3LYP, M05 and M06 combined with the 6–31 G\* basis set. The calculated results are summarized in Table 1, indicating that the  $E_g$  values are strongly dependent on the type of used functional. The O3LYP and M06 yield the smallest and largest values, respectively. Since there are no experimental data for the  $E_g$ , we calculated the average value of the results of these functionals in every case and suggested it as  $E_g$  of cluster. The relative order of magnitudes for  $E_g$  (in eV) are as follows:

$$B_{12}N_{12}(7.02) >> Al_{12}N_{12}(4.09) > B_{12}P_{12}(3.80) > Al_{12}P_{12}(3.39).$$

The Al<sub>12</sub>P<sub>12</sub> nanocluster has the smallest  $E_g$  among all types; therefore, it is the most electrically conductive cluster. The B<sub>12</sub>N<sub>12</sub> case has the most electrical resistivity due to the largest  $E_g$ . All the above suggest that the B<sub>12</sub>N<sub>12</sub> nanocluster is insulator material but the others are semiconductors. It seems that there is a definite correlation between the size of consisting atoms and  $E_g$  of clusters, i.e., the clusters that consist of the atoms with greater covalent radius have smaller  $E_g$ . It can be rationalized by this fact that the larger atoms have high polarizability and their valence electrons can freely contribute in electrical conductivity.

As shown in Table 1, the values of  $E_g$  obtained from both the M05 and M06 is somewhat greater than those from the B3LYP, X3LYP and O3LYP functionals. However, the B3LYP, X3LYP [31] and O3LYP [32] are hybrid generalized gradient approximation (GGA) functionals, which include a mixture of Hartree-Fock exchange with DFT exchangecorrelation. A summary of these methods is given in Table 3. Since the theory behind these functionals is explained in the original papers, we refer the readers to the original references for those details. However, the B3LYP functional has the following form as introduced in 1994 [21]:

$$E_{\rm XC}^{\rm B3LYP} = (1-a) E_{\rm X}^{\rm LSDA} + a E_{\rm X}^{\rm HF} + b \Delta E_{\rm X}^{\rm B88} + (1-c) E_{\rm C}^{\rm LSDA} + E_{\rm C}^{\rm LYP},$$
(1)

 Table 3 A comparison among three different density functional theory methods

Method	X <sup>a</sup>	Year	Ex. <sup>b</sup>	Corr. <sup>c</sup>	Ref(s).
B3LYP	20	1994	Becke88	Lee-Yang-Parr	20, 21, 23
O3LYP	11.61	2001	OPXT	Lee-Yang-Parr	31
X3LYP	21.8	2004	Becke88 + PW91	Lee-Yang-Parr	32

<sup>a</sup>X denotes the percentage of HF exchange in the functional. <sup>b</sup>Exchange functional. <sup>c</sup>Correlation functional

where a, b, and c were optimized to 0.20, 0.72, 0.81, respectively. The O3LYP is a three-parameter functional similar in character to B3LYP, with a=0.1161, b=0.9262 (multitlying O exchange instead of B one) and c=0.8133 [32]. The X3LYP functional also uses the form of Eq. 1, with a=218, b=0.709 (multiplying a combination of 76.5% B exchange and PW (Perdew-Wang) exchange instead of pure B one) and c=0.129 [31].

The M05 [33] and M06 [34] are hybride meta GGA functionals in which the energy depends on the occupied orbitals not only through the HF exchange terms (as in hybrid GGAs) but also through the noninteracting spin kinetic energy densities (as in meta-GGAs) incorporate kinetic-energy density in a balanced way in the exchange and correlation functional. The M05 and M06 methods differ essentially in the inclusion of a percentage of HF exchange, in the functional forms used to represent exchange and correlation, and in parametrization.

To investigate the stability of the  $X_{12}Y_{12}$  cages, we calculated the binding enthalpy ( $\Delta H_b$ , Eq. 2) and binding Gibbs free energy ( $\Delta G_b$ , Eq. 3) at 1 *atm* and 298 K, using the following expression. A similar equation has been used by Li et al. [35] to calculate the binding electronic energy of  $Al_{12}N_{12}$  nanocluster.

$$\Delta H_{b} = [H_{tot}(X_{12}Y_{12}) - 12(H_{X} + H_{Y})]/24$$
(2)

$$\Delta G_{b} = [G_{tot}(X_{12}Y_{12}) - 12(G_{X} + G_{Y})]/24$$
(3)

where 12 is the number of X and Y atoms; the  $H_X$  and  $H_Y$  are enthalpy of an isolated X and Y atom also, the  $G_X$  and  $G_Y$  are the Gibbs free energies of an isolated X and Y at 1 *atm* and 298 K, respectively . All calculated values are negative, thus, it seems preferable to conclude that the clusters are thermodynamically stable. The relative stabilities of these clusters are as follows:

$$B_{12}N_{12} > Al_{12}N_{12} > B_{12}P_{12} > Al_{12}P_{12}$$

As shown from Tables 1 and 4, there is a direct relationship between the stability and  $E_g$  of clusters, namely, the greater  $E_g$  leads to more stability. Aihara et al. previously reported that T value, i.e., the  $E_g$  separation multiplied by the

**Table 4** Enthalpy of binding  $(\Delta H_b)$ , Gibbs free energy of binding  $(\Delta G_b)$ , charge transferd from electropositive atom to electronegative one  $(Q_T)$ , standard enthalpy of formation  $(\Delta_f H^0)$  and standard Gibbs free energy of formation  $(\Delta_f G^0)$  for the studied clusters. The energies are in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>

Cluster	$\Delta H_{b}$	$\Delta G_{b}$	Q <sub>T</sub> (e)	$\Delta_{\rm f} {\rm H}^0$	$\Delta_{\rm f} G^0$
B <sub>12</sub> N <sub>12</sub>	-135.85	-128.7	0.44	-275.53	-48.42
Al <sub>12</sub> N <sub>12</sub>	-98.81	-91.45	0.75	-74.67	149.29
$B_{12}P_{12}$	-95.81	-89.03	0.12	240.08	461.03
$Al_{12}P_{12}$	-72.62	-65.14	0.21	100.97	316.02

number of constituting atoms, is preferred as an index of kinetic stability (we mean stability against all possible chemical reactions and decomposition) of fullerene isomers [36]. They showed that fullerenes with a large T value have large minimum bond resonance energy [37]. In this study, as the number of atoms is equal in all clusters, thus, we think that the absolute values of  $E_g$  may be an appropriate factor to compare the kinetic stability of these clusters. As a result their relative kinetic stability is as follows:

 $B_{12}N_{12} > Al_{12}N_{12} > B_{12}P_{12} > Al_{12}P_{12} \\$ 

this is consistent with their relative thermodynamic stability.

It seems that the binding energies are not a suitable factor for predicting the thermodynamic stability of systems; because it misses the entropic effects and the effects of vibrational, rotational energies, ect. That is, we calculated the standard enthalpy and Gibbs free energy of formation for the studied clusters. Theoretical enthalpy of formation at 298 K is calculated by subtracting calculated atomization energies ( $\Sigma D_0$ ) from known enthalpy of formation of the isolated atoms. For any cluster,  $X_{12}Y_{12}$ , the  $\Delta_t H^0$  at 1 atom and 298 K is given by:

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{f} H^{0}(X_{12}Y_{12},298K) &= 12 \, \Delta_{f} H^{0}(X,298K) \\ &+ 12 \, \Delta_{f} H^{0}(Y,298K) - \, \Sigma D_{0}. \end{split} \label{eq:delta_f}$$

The JANAF (NIST-JANAF Thermochemical Tables) [38] values for the atomic  $\Delta_f H^0$  were used with the exception of boron, for which we used a revised value recommended by Ruscic et al.[39] based on new experimental results of Storms and Mueller [40]. The numerical values are listed in Table 5. Previously, this strategy has been frequently used to determine the  $\Delta_f H^0$  of several molecules [37, 38]. Here, the JANAF values were used because determination of the  $\Delta_f H^0$  for atoms of clusters is not straightforward, theoretically. For example, the reference structure for boron atom is crystal and its  $\Delta_f H^0$  determination is a controversial issue [41, 42].

However, to examine the reliability of our used method in determination of  $\Delta_t H^0$ , we calculated the  $\Delta_t H^0$  of some

**Table 5** Enthalpies of formation at 298 K for gaseous atoms and  $(H^{298}-H^0)$  values for elements in their standard states from experiment (Ref. 3)

Atoms	$\Delta_{\rm f} {\rm H}^0 \ (0 \ {\rm K})$	H <sup>298</sup> -H <sup>0</sup>
В	136.2 <sup>a</sup>	0.29
Ν	112.53	1.04
Al	78.23	1.08
Р	75.42	1.28

<sup>a</sup> Reference 4 and 5

small atoms (including H, N, O, F) which their reference point of zero  $\Delta_f H^0$  is diatomic molecules in gaseous phase. Subsequently, we compared them with the experimental values of JANAF. The calculated values and the experimental ones were listed in Table 6. Application of the statistical t-paired test [43] for the values at 0 and 298 K rendered calculated t values of 0.27 and 0.23, respectively, which are below the critical value for three degrees of freedom (viz., 3.18) at the 0.05 significance level, thus revealing the inexistence of a good consistency between experimental and uB3LYP/6–31 G\* with 95% confidence. It is also noteworthy to say that Xu et al. have shown that B3LYP is one of the accurate functionals in calculation of the heat of formation [32].

The computed  $\Delta_f H^0$  of the clusters are listed in Table 4, indicating that  $\Delta_{f}H^{0}$  of B<sub>12</sub>N<sub>12</sub> and Al<sub>12</sub>N<sub>12</sub> are negative with values of -275.53 and -48.42 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>, while that of  $B_{12}P_{12}$  and  $Al_{12}N_{12}$  is positive. It can be concluded that the B<sub>12</sub>N<sub>12</sub> nanocluster is thermodynamically the most stable, followed by Al<sub>12</sub>N<sub>12</sub>, and the phosphorus-containing binary cages are both unstable at room temperature. However, the synthesis feasibility of  $B_{12}N_{12}$  [10] helps to rationalize our findings. Previously, using the semiempirical AM1 method, Dumitrescu et al. [19] have shown that Al<sub>12</sub>N<sub>12</sub> cage is thermodynamically more favorable than B<sub>12</sub>N<sub>12</sub> cluster and both the phosphorus-containing binary cages are unstable. The latter is consistent with our finding but their reported on relative stability of B<sub>12</sub>N<sub>12</sub> and Al<sub>12</sub>N<sub>12</sub> clusters is not. We think that the semiempirical AM1 method cannot feature the thermochemistry of materials efficiently.

Table 6 Experimental and calculated values of enthalpy of formations (kcal  $mol^{-1}$ ) of the H, N, O and F atoms at 0 and 298 K

Atom	B3LYP/6-3	1 G*	Experimental		
	0 K	298 K	0 K	298 K	
Н	51.70	52.15	51.63	52.64	
N	109.67	110.12	112.53	113.57	
0	61.19	61.63	58.99	60.03	
F	20.33	20.77	18.47	19.52	

To evaluate the entropic effect in the stability of the nanoclusters we calculated their standard Gibss free energy of formation  $(\Delta_f G^0)$  using the following equation:

$$\Delta_{\rm f} G^0(X_{12}Y_{12}, 298{\rm K}) = \Delta_{\rm f} {\rm H}^0(X_{12}Y_{12}, 298{\rm K}) - 298\,\Delta{\rm S} \qquad (5)$$

where  $\Delta S$  is the change of entropy for the following assumed reaction

$$12X(g, 298K) + 12Y(g, 298K) \rightarrow X_{12}Y_{12}(g, 298K)$$
 (6)

where X and Y are atoms in the  $X_{12}Y_{12}$  cluster in gas phase. Based on our calculations (Table 4) only the  $\Delta/G^0$  of  $B_{12}N_{12}$  cluster is negative, demonstrating that the  $B_{12}N_{12}$ formation from their consisting atoms are thermodynamically spontaneous processes at room temperature, without needing to be driven by an outside source of energy. On the other hand, formation of  $Al_{12}N_{12}$  molecules from their consisting atoms may occur at low temperatures, while formation of  $B_{12}P_{12}$  and  $Al_{12}P_{12}$  is not thermodynamically feasible at any temperature because the  $\Delta S$  is negative and  $\Delta_{f}H^{0}$  is positive for this process.

It is noteworthy to say that looking at the results in Table 2. The  $B_{12}P_{12}$  and  $Al_{12}P_{12}$  have larger bonds compared to the other clusters. The bond  $H_2X$ -YH<sub>2</sub> for  $Al_{12}N_{12}$  is also large. The length of the bonds for cluster  $Al_{12}P_{12}$  are notably larger than the bonds of the other clusters, particularly the length of bond  $H_2X$ -YH<sub>2</sub>. This fact should hint that the formation of cluster  $Al_{12}P_{12}$  is not likely to happen spontaneously. Also, from looking at the results in Table 4, the enthalpy of binding and Gibbs free energy of binding are negative for all the clusters, as mentioned earlier here this supports the stability hypothesis. The  $\Delta_f H^0$  and  $\Delta_f G_0$  for the  $B_{12}P_{12}$  and  $Al_{12}P_{12}$  clusters are positive. These values again hint that the formation of  $B_{12}P_{12}$  and  $Al_{12}P_{12}$  is not likely to happen spontaneously.

## Conclusions

In summary, we have performed a DFT study on the thermochemistry and some properties of inorganic nanoclusters including  $B_{12}N_{12}$ ,  $Al_{12}N_{12}$ ,  $B_{12}P_{12}$  and  $Al_{12}P_{12}$ . Their electrical property analysis showed that the relative magnitude of the HOMO-LUMO gap (eV) is as follows:

$$B_{12}N_{12}(7.02) >> Al_{12}N_{12}(4.09) > B_{12}P_{12}(3.80) > Al_{12}P_{12}(3.39).$$

The thermodynamic stability of these inorganic clusters was studied here with calculations of their enthalpy and free energies of formation. Based on the calculations, for P=1 atom the B<sub>12</sub>N<sub>12</sub> structure is thermodynamically stable at room temperature, while the Al<sub>12</sub>N<sub>12</sub> structure may be stable at low temperatures and the two others are not stable at any temperature.

#### References

- Kroto H, Heath J, O'Brien S, Curl R, Smalley R (1985) C60: Buckminsterfullerene. Nature 318:162–163
- Goel S, Masunov (2011) A density functional theory study of small nickel clusters. J Mol Model. doi:101007/s00894-011-1100-x
- Wu HS, Cui XY, Qin XF, Strout D, Jiao H (2006) Boron nitride cages from B<sub>12</sub>N<sub>12</sub> to B<sub>36</sub>N<sub>36</sub>: square-hexagon alternants vs boron nitride tubes. J Mol Model 12:537–542. doi:101007/s00894-005-0042-6
- Xia Q-Y, Lin Q-F, Zhao W (2011) Theoretical study on the structural, vibrational, and thermodynamic properties of the (Br2GaN3)n (n=1-4) clusters. J Mol Model. doi:101007/ s00894-011-1126-0
- Yin B, Wang G, Sa N, Huang Y (2008) Bonding analysis and stability on alternant B<sub>16</sub>N<sub>16</sub> cage and its dimmers. J Mol Model 14:789–795. doi:101007/s00894-008-0303-2
- Kandalam AK, Blanco MA, Pandey R (2002) Theoretical study of Al<sub>n</sub>N<sub>n</sub>, Ga<sub>n</sub>N<sub>n</sub>, and In<sub>n</sub>N<sub>n</sub> (n=4, 5, 6) clusters. J Phys Chem B 106:1945–1953. doi:101021/jp0140062
- Costales A, Kandalam AK, Franco R, Pandey R (2002) Theoretical study of structural and vibrational properties of (AIP)<sub>n</sub>, (AlAs)<sub>n</sub>, (GaP)<sub>n</sub>, (GaAs)<sub>n</sub>, (InP)<sub>n</sub>, and (InAs)<sub>n</sub> clusters with *n*=1, 2, 3. J Phys Chem B 106:1940–1944. doi:101021/jp013906f
- Strout DL (2000) Structure and stability of boron nitrides: isomers of B12N12. J Phys Chem A 104:3364–3366
- Wang R, Zhang D, Liu C (2005) Theoretical prediction of a novel inorganic fullerene-like family of silicon-carbon materials. Chem Phys Lett 411:333–338
- 10. Oku T, Nishiwaki A, Narita I Formation and atomic structure of  $B_{12}N_{12}$  nanocage clusters studied by mass spectrometry and cluster calculation. Sci Tech Adv Mater 5:635–638
- Seifert G, Fowler PW, Mitchell D, Porezag D, Frauenheim T (1997) Boron-nitrogen analogues of the fullerenes: electronic and structural properties. Chem Phys Lett 268:352–358
- 12. Jensen F, Toftlund H (1993) Structure and stability of  $C_{24}$  and  $B_{12}N_{12}$  isomers. Chem Phys Lett 201:89–96
- Wang Q, Sun Q, Jena P, Kawazoe Y (2009) Potential of AlN nanostructures as hydrogen storage materials. ACS Nano 3:621– 626
- 14. Feng PY, Balasubramanian K (1999) Spectroscopic properties of Al<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, and Al<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and comparison with their Ga and in analogues. J Phys Chem A 103:9093–9099
- Archibong EF, Gregorius RM, Alexander SA (2000) Structures and electron detachment energies of AlP<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and Al<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>. Chem Phys Lett 321:253–261
- Feng PY, Balasubramanian K (2000) Potential energy surfaces of electronic states of AlP<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>P and their ions. Chem Phys Lett 318:417–426
- Pestana DC, Power PP (1991) Nature of the boron-phosphorus bond in monomeric phosphinoboranes and related compounds. J Am Chem Soc 113:8426–8437
- Ferreira V, Alves H (2008) Boron phosphide as the buffer-layer for the epitaxial III-nitride growth: a theoretical study. J Crys Grow 310:3973–3978
- Silaghi-Dumitrescu I, Lara-Ochoa F, Haiduc I (1996) A<sub>12</sub>B<sub>12</sub> (A= B, Al; B=N, P) fullerene-like cages and their hydrogenated forms stabilized by exohedral bonds An AM1 molecular orbital study. J Mol Struct THEOCHEM 370:17–23
- Lee C, Yang W, Parr RG (1988) Development of the Colle-Salvetti correlation-energy formula into a functional of the electron density. Phys Rev B 37:785–789
- Stephens PJ, Devlin FJ, Chabalowski CF, Frisch MJ (1994) Ab initio calculation of vibrational absorption and circular dichroism spectra using density functional force fields. J Phys Chem 98:11623–11627

- Becke AD (1988) Density-functional exchange-energy approximation with correct asymptotic behavior. Phys Rev A 38:3098–3100
- Ahmadi A, Beheshtian J, Hadipour N (2011) Interaction of NH<sub>3</sub> with aluminum nitride nanotube: electrostatic vs. covalent. Physica E 43:1717–1719
- Ahmadi A, Kamfiroozi M, Beheshtian J, Hadipour N (2011) The effect of surface curvature of aluminum nitride nanotubes on the adsorption of NH<sub>3</sub>. Struct Chem. doi:10.1007/s11224-011-9820-1
- Beheshtian J, Kamfiroozi M, Bagheri Z, Ahmadi A (2011) Computational study of CO and NO adsorption on magnesium oxide nanotubes. Phys E. doi:10.1016/j.physe.2011.09.016
- 26. Ahmadi A, Beheshtian J, Kamfiroozi M Benchmarking of ONIOM method for the study of NH<sub>3</sub> dissociation at open ends of BNNTs. J Mol Model. doi:10.1007/s00894-011-1202-5
- Hu Y, Ruckenstein E (2003) *Ab initio* quantum chemical calculations for fullerene cages with large holes. J Chem Phys 119:10073–10080
- Ahmadi A, Beheshtian J, Hadipour N (2011) Chemisorption of NH<sub>3</sub> at the open ends of boron nitride nanotubes: a DFT study. Struct Chem 22:183–188
- 29. Wu H-S, Xu X-H, Strout D, Jiao H (2005) The structure and stability of  $B_{36}N_{36}$  cages: a computational study. J Mol Model 12:1–8
- Schmidt MW, Baldridge KK, Boatz JA, Elbert ST, Gordon MS, Jensen JH, Koseki S, Matsunaga N, Nguyen KA, Su S, Windus TL, Dupuis M, Montgomery JA (1993) General atomic and molecular electronic structure system. J Comput Chem 14:1347–1363
- Hoe W, Cohen A, Handy N (2001) Assessment of a new local exchange functional OPTX. Chem Phys Lett 341:319–328
- 32. Xu X, Goddard W (2004) The X3LYP extended density functional for accurate descriptions of nonbond interactions, spin states, and thermochemical properties. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101:2673–2677
- Zhao Y, Schultz NE, Truhlar DG (2005) Exchange-correlation functional with broad accuracy for metallic and nonmetallic compounds, kinetics, and noncovalent interactions. J Phys Chem 123:161103–161106
- Zhao Y, Truhlar DG (2008) Exploring the limit of accuracy of the global hybrid meta density functional for main-group thermochemistry, kinetics, and noncovalent interactions. J Chem Theor Comput 4:1849–1868
- 35. Jiling L et al (2007) Theoretical prediction for the (AlN) 12 fullerene-like cage-based nanomaterials. J Phys Cond Matt 19:346228–346236
- 36. Yoshida M, Aihara J (1999) Validity of the weighted HOMO-LUMO energy separation as an index of kinetic stability for fullerenes with up to 120 carbon atoms. Phys Chem Chem Phys 1:227–230
- Mizorogi N, J-i A (2003) PM3 localization energies for the isolated-pentagon isomers of the C84 fullerene. Phys Chem Chem Phys 5:3368–3371
- Chase MW, Davies CA Jr, Downey JR, Frurip DJ Jr, McDonald RA, Syverud AN (1985) J Phys Chem Ref Data 14, Suppl No 1
- Ruscic B, Mayhew C, Berkowitz J (1988) Photoionization studies of (BH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>n</sub> (n=1,2). J Chem Phys 88:5580–5593
- Storms E, Mueller B (1977) Phase relations and thermodynamic properties of transition metal borides I The molybdenum-boron system and elemental boron. J Phys Chem 81:318–324
- 41. Curtiss LA, Raghavachari K, Deutsch PW, Pople J (1991) Theoretical study of  $Si_2H_n$  (n=0-6) and  $Si_2H_n^+$  (n=0-7): Appearance potentials, ionization potentials, and enthalpies of formation. J Chem Phys 95:2433–2444
- Curtiss LA, Raghavachari K, Redfern PC, Pople J (1997) Assessment of Gaussian-2 and density functional theories for the computation of enthalpies of formation. J Chem Phys 106:1063–1079
- Miller JN, Miller JC (2005) Statistics and chemometrics for analytical chemistry, 5th edn. Pearson, Harlow, UK